CLASSIF

CENTRAL INTELLIGE

INFORMATION FROM FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS REPORT

1949

50X1-HUM

COUNTRY

SUBJECT

Scientific - Pathology

HOW

PUBLISHED Weekly newspaper

WHERE

PUBLISHED Moscov

DATE.

PUBLISHED.

14 May 1949

LANGUAGE

Russian

NO. OF PAGES

DATE DIST. 2 Jun 1949

SUPPLEMENT TO

CD NO

DATE OF INFORMATION

REPORT NO.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE

Meditsinskiy Babotnik

No 19, 1949.

SUBACUTE SEPTIC INDOCARDITIS

Academician N. Strazhesko Hero of Socialist Labor Kiev

As early as the 1926 Conference of Russian Therapeutists, I stated my eppesition to the views of Schottmiller regarding the problem of the endocarditis lenta. He claimed that any diseas, is the result of several factors and not one specific factor. He further stated that it was impossible to determine the specificity of the streptococcus viricans in endocarditis since post-mortens showed that there were various ferms of streptococci present in the valves of the heart.

At that time I stated that chronic infections should be explained from the standpoint of gradually changing relationships between the microbe and the organism, and that this relationship was further complicated by the presence of endogenic and exceenic factors which are hard to distinguish. My contentions were based on data obtained in clinical uperiments conducted to determine the action of immunity and allergy, and the variations in the exchange of matter during the various stages of infection. It was possible to show that there was in fact a relationship between rhematic polyarthritis and chronic sepsis, but that it differed from the type of relationship described by Schottmiller and his proponents Ashor and others.

Another report submitted about that time by Prof B.A. Chernogubov stated that the normal-temperature during this disease should be 37.5 degrees. Docent'M. L. Kacher, one of my co-workers, went on record to oppose thermogu ov's contentions.

CLASSIFICATION STATE

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/07/18: CIA-RDP80-00809A000600230275-2

SECRET

50X1-HUM

Years later, in December 1948, at a meeting of Moscow therapeutists held at MONOKI, Ye. M. Tareyev presented a report which appeared to be a conduction as well as conclusion to Chernogubov's teachings. Rather the go into Tareyev's report too deeply, I should like to mention briefly that it is important to know the history of any field of medicine well, before attempting a report. It is therefore necessary to read up on Buye, Botkin and others who wrote on rheumatism and chronic sepsis as well as to acquaint oneself with the erroneous chaeptions of such worthy scientists as Ashof, Schottmuller, Thernorutter, Klinge, Abrikasov, Davidovskiy and others.

According to Tareyev, the participants at the 1948 meeting came to the conclusion that "there is no relationship between rheumatic and subscute septic endocarditis, and that the latter is a new process caused primarily by the action of streptococcus viridans." This appears to be in direct agreement with Chernogabov's ideas as he claimed that septic endocarditis is a complication of some general infection caused by the microbes known to be of the same etiology as sepsis lents. It is legical to consider, therefore, that although rheumatism can be arrested in its initial stage, all atthat of aeptic endocarditis following this rheumatic condition will effect the valves of the heart which have already been weakened by rheumatism.

However it must be borne in mind that infection of the valves is not always the result of the action of microbes. The action of microbes is effective only in the presence of toxins. This fact has been proved by experiments with toxic substances, for example, allyiformate.

Tater on Lang and Rection, after prolonged laboratory studies, reported that "in spite of the data obtained, we are in no position to disprove the contention that rheumatic embocarditis and the onset of septic embocarditis are variations of the reactions of one and the same macroorganism."

Therefore, it is easy to see Kechker's position when he claims that "there is only one form of endocarditis, which without any relationship to the character of the pathologic anatomic changes in the valutlar-endocarditm, without any relationship to the presence or absence of a sewree of infection in the blood or on the valutlar endocardium, and, without any relationship to the etiologic characteristics of this disease, nevertheless has various clinical pictures of the course of this disease. This difference between the clinical and the pathologice-anatomic picture on the based on the nonstandard relation in each individual case between the micro- and macroorganisms." It is much harder to understand Chernogubov's contentions that "rheumotic and septic endocarditis are variations of the reactions of one and the same macroorganism."

Tareyev's article concludes by stating that on the strength of the high level of reports submitted by Soviet therapeutiats, it is possible to state that Ecviet science is foremost in dealing with problems of subscute septic endocarditis.

But how about the other scientists, who are carrying on the fight against Greenogubov's influence which seems to follow the theory of foreign scientists? Tareyev apparently presented only one cide of the picture, and for this reason his contention that this disease should be called "Thernogubov's disease" cannot be accepted.

- E N D -

SECKET